

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)  
rluskey@paulweiss.com  
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
535 Mission Street, 25th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (628) 432-5100  
Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
ratkins@paulweiss.com  
JACQUELINE P. RUBIN (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
jrubin@paulweiss.com  
CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com  
ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
akeller@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 373-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

*[Additional Counsel Listed on Following Page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH  
REGARDING SERVICE OF ORDER  
GRANTING MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW  
AS COUNSEL**

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Doe EB 22 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et  
al., 3:24-CV-05245-CRB*

*Jane Doe EB 19 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et  
al., 3:24-CV-05217-CRB*

*Jane Doe EB 10 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et  
al., 3:24-CV-05197-CRB*

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Beyer  
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

1 *Nicole Naccarato v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*  
2 *et al.*, 3:24-CV-05312-CRB

3 *K.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, 3:24-  
4 CV-05719-CRB

5 *D.M.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, 3:24-  
6 CV-05606-CRB

7 *S.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, 3:24-  
8 CV-07156-CRB

9 *B.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, 3:24-  
10 CV-06948-CRB

11 *C.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, 3:24-  
12 CV-06923-CRB

13 KYLE N. SMITH (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)

14 ksmith@paulweiss.com

15 JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)

16 jphillips@paulweiss.com

17 **PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON**

18 **& GARRISON LLP**

19 2001 K Street, NW

20 Washington DC, 20006

21 Telephone: (202) 223-7300

22 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

23 *Attorneys for Defendants*

24 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

25 RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

**DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH**

I, Kyle Smith, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arlington, Virginia. I respectfully submit this declaration explaining how Uber served a copy of the Court's March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw, ECF 2431, on Plaintiffs Jane Doe EB 22, Jane Doe EB 19, Jane Doe EB 10, Nicole Naccarato, K.B., D.M.S., S.H., B.S., and C.S. ("Plaintiffs").

2. I am a partner at the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, representing Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC ("Uber") in this MDL as well as in Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5188, *In Re: Uber Rideshare* Cases, Case No. CJC-21-005188. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the Bar of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Third Department. I make this declaration based upon matters within my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.

3. The Plaintiffs all previously provided Uber with discovery, including Ride Receipts or Ride Information Forms pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 5, and Plaintiff Fact Sheets pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 10. These discovery materials included both a physical mailing address and email address associated with Plaintiffs Jane Doe EB 19, S.H., B.S., and C.S., respectively. For Plaintiffs K.B., D.M.S., and Jane Doe EB 10 these discovery materials included a physical mailing address, but not an email address, associated with each Plaintiff. For Nicole Naccarato, these discovery materials included only an email address associated with the Plaintiff. For Jane Doe EB 22, these materials did not include either a physical mailing address or an email address associated with the Plaintiff.

4. On March 5, 2025, counsel for Uber emailed former counsel for each of the Plaintiffs identifying the physical mailing addresses and email addresses that Uber received during discovery associated with the relevant Plaintiffs. Counsel for Uber requested that Plaintiffs' former counsel inform Uber of any new or different physical mailing or email addresses for the Plaintiffs to which counsel for Uber should send the Court's Order.

1           5.       On March 5, 2025, Estey & Bomberger, LLP (“Estey & Bomberger”), former  
2 counsel to Plaintiffs Jane Doe EB 22, Jane Doe EB 19, Jane Doe EB 10, and Nicole Naccarato,  
3 provided counsel for Uber with physical mailing addresses for Jane Doe EB 22 and Nicole  
4 Naccarato, and a different email address by which Plaintiff Jane Doe EB 10 could be contacted.  
5 Estey & Bomberger also provided counsel for Uber with an email address by which Plaintiff Jane  
6 Doe EB 22 could be contacted. Estey & Bomberger confirmed that Estey & Bomberger was not  
7 aware of any different physical mailing addresses or email addresses by which Plaintiff Jane Doe  
8 EB 19 could be contacted. Estey & Bomberger confirmed that Estey & Bomberger was not aware  
9 of any different physical mailing address by which Jane Doe EB 10 could be contacted or any  
10 different email address by which Nicole Naccarato could be contacted.

11           6.       On March 5, 2025, Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP (“Peiffer Wolf”),  
12 former counsel to Plaintiffs K.B. and D.M.S., confirmed that Peiffer Wolf was not aware of any  
13 different physical mailing addresses or email addresses by which Plaintiffs K.B. and D.M.S. could  
14 be contacted.

15           7.       On March 6, 2025, Pulaski Kherkher, PLLC (“Pulaski”), former counsel to  
16 Plaintiffs S.H., B.S., and C.S., confirmed that Pulaski was not aware of any different physical  
17 mailing addresses or email addresses by which Plaintiffs S.H., B.S., and C.S. could be contacted.

18           8.       On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe  
19 EB 22 with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit A**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the  
20 Court’s March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

21           9.       Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe EB 22 with this letter via three different  
22 methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to Jane Doe EB 22’s MDL Centrality profile<sup>1</sup>,  
23 (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified by Estey & Bomberger,  
24 and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical  
25 mailing address identified by Estey & Bomberger.

26           <sup>1</sup> MDL Centrality was offline due to maintenance during the evening of March 7, 2025. Thus,  
27 though all the letters produced to Plaintiffs via MDL Centrality (and certified mail and email) are  
28 dated March 7, 2025, they were not uploaded and produced via MDL Centrality until March 8,  
2025.

1           10.     On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe  
2 EB 19 with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit B**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the  
3 Court's March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

4           11.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe EB 19 with this letter via three different  
5 methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to Jane Doe EB 19's MDL Centrality profile,  
6 (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified in Jane Doe EB 19's  
7 Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail  
8 to the physical mailing address identified in Jane Doe EB 19's Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

9           12.     On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe  
10 EB 10 with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit C**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the  
11 Court's March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

12           13.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Jane Doe EB 10 with this letter via three different  
13 methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to Jane Doe EB 10's MDL Centrality profile,  
14 (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified by Estey & Bomberger,  
15 and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical  
16 mailing address identified in Jane Doe EB 10's Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

17           14.     On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Nicole  
18 Naccarato with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit D**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains  
19 the Court's March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

20           15.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff Nicole Naccarato with this letter via three  
21 different methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to Nicole Naccarato's MDL  
22 Centrality profile, (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified in Nicole  
23 Naccarato's Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via  
24 certified mail to the physical mailing address identified by Estey & Bomberger.

25           16.     On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff K.B. with  
26 the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit E**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's  
27 March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

28

1           17. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff K.B. with this letter via two different methods:  
2 (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to K.B.'s MDL Centrality profile, and (2) on March 7,  
3 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified  
4 in K.B.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet. Though the heading of this letter states that it was produced to K.B.  
5 "via . . . email," K.B.'s email address was not identified in K.B.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, nor was it  
6 identified by Peiffer Wolf; thus, the letter was not emailed to K.B.

7           18. On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff D.M.S.  
8 with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit F**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's  
9 March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

10           19. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff D.M.S. with this letter via two different methods:  
11 (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to D.M.S.'s MDL Centrality profile, and (2) on March  
12 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address  
13 identified in D.M.S.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet. Though the heading of this letter states that it was  
14 produced to D.M.S. "via . . . email," D.M.S.'s email address was not identified in D.M.S.'s  
15 Plaintiff Fact Sheet, nor was it identified by Peiffer Wolf, and thus the letter was not emailed to  
16 D.M.S.

17           20. On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff S.H. with  
18 the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit G**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's  
19 March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

20           21. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff S.H. with this letter via three different methods:  
21 (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to S.H.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) on March 7, 2025,  
22 emailing the letter to the email address identified in S.H.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) on March  
23 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address  
24 identified in S.H.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

25           22. On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff B.S. with  
26 the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit H**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's  
27 March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

23. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff B.S. with this letter via three different methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to B.S.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified in B.S.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in B.S.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

24. On March 7, 2025, and March 8, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.S. with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit I**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's March 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

25. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.S. with this letter via three different methods: (1) on March 8, 2025, producing the letter to C.S.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) on March 7, 2025, emailing the letter to the email address identified in C.S.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) on March 7, 2025, depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in C.S.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 10, 2025, in Washington, DC.

/s/ Kyle Smith  
Kyle Smith